

## UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Addr ss: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/042.666	03/17/98	GALVANAUSKAS		Α	A7139
<del></del>		haha a mi z co minu	٦	EXAMINER	
MM42/0826 SUGHRUE MION ZINN				LEE.J	
MACPEAK & S	EAS			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2100 PENNSY WASHINGTON				2874	
				DATE MAILED	: 08/26/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

1. File Copy

## Application No. 09/042,666

Applical

Almantas Galvanauskas et al.

Office Action Summary Examiner

Group Art Unit 2874



	55.II. D. E66	<u>                                     </u>
Responsive to communication(s) filed on		·
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .		
Since this application is in condition for allowance excellent in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Fa application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Ex 37 CFR 1.136(a).	illire to respond within the perior	d for response will oddes and
Disposition of Claims		
	is/are	
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are w	rithdrawn from consideration.
	i	s/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	i	s/are objected to.
Claims	are subject to restrict	tion or election requirement.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are	is 🗀 pproved l	_disapproved.
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED co	pies of the priority documents ha	ave been
received in Application No. (Series Code/Seri	al Number)	_•
<ul> <li>received in this national stage application from</li> <li>*Certified copies not received:</li> </ul>		Rule 17.2(a)).
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e).
Attachment(s)  X Notice of References Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pa  Interview Summary, PTO-413  X Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, P  Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
SFF OFFICE ACTIO	N ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Serial No. 09/042,666

Art Unit 2874

This application has been filed with twelve (12) sheets of drawing which have been objected to by the Office Draftsperson (see attached form PTO-948) but which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 20, 21, 27, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Each of these four claims begins with the phrase "The ultrashort pulse generator according to claim...". In order to have correct antecedent agreement, however, the term "ultrashort pulse generator" should be --combination--. Because of this antecedent problem, the claims are presently indefinite. It is believed that claim 21 is intended to depend upon 20 rather than upon claim 14, since there is no antecedent support for the term "said mode-locked fiber laser". It is also believed that claim 28 is intended to depend upon 27 rather than upon claim 22, since there is no antecedent support for the term "said mode-locked fiber laser".

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Serial No. 09/042,666 Art Unit 2874

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,815,307 to Arbore et al. Arbore et al discloses an ultrashort pulse generator comprising an ultrashort optical pulse source and a wavelength conversion apparatus 10 for adjusting the chirp of the ultrashort optical pulse and converting the wavelength thereof (for example, to a second harmonic wavelength of the ultrashort optical pulse wavelength). The conversion apparatus 10 is a grating based device. Although not stated in the reference, such devices are well known in the art to include optical fiber gratings, so that the apparatus 10 could obviously be fabricated in an optical waveguide. The apparatus 10 of Arbore et al is also clearly an optical parametric device, operating on optical nonlinear principles to convert the wavelength of the ultrashort optical pulse therein. The second harmonic generation portion of the Arbore et al wavelength conversion apparatus constitutes a "mode converter" (as recited in applicant's claims 2 and 4). The use of adiabatically tapered input waveguides for ease of light insertion into other optical waveguides is well known in the art. The use of such an adiabatically tapered input waveguide in Arbore et al would thus have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art. Note that the nonlinear material for wavelength conversion apparatus 10 can be a periodically-poled ferroelectric material such as KTP and isomorphs of KTP (column 6, lines 44-60, of Arbore et al). The specific ultrashort optical pulse source used in the reference is not identified, but the general discussion (see the paragraph bridging columns 6 and 7) indicates that a known ultrafast laser should be employed. This obviously implies that lasers such as those identified in applicant's claims 8-10 should be used, and the use of any of them would thus have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill.

Serial No. 09/042,666 Art Unit 2874

Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,815,307 to Arbore et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent 5,321,707 to Huber. The only difference between the Arbore et al device and that of applicant's claim 12 is that there is no amplifier upstream of the wavelength conversion apparatus 10 for amplifying the ultrashort pulses prior to conversion to a different (e.g. a harmonic) wavelength. The use of upstream and downstream amplifiers, such as rare earth doped optical fiber amplifiers, however, has been known in the art for a long time. Note, for example, the Huber reference, which shows a rare earth doped optical fiber amplifier 64 downstream of the active elements in a pumped active optical device. The person of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that any optical signal that has been newly generated or converted will experience a loss in intensity as it travels along, thus necessitating the use of in-line amplifiers like that of Huber. It would thus have been obvious to use an upstream amplifier like the rare earth doped optical fiber amplifier 64 of Huber in the Arbore et al pulse generation device, providing the necessary amplification for the wavelength conversion apparatus 10. Regarding applicant's claim 13, the rare earth doped optical fiber amplifier of Huber includes erbium doped optical fiber amplifiers.

Claims 14-19 and 22-26 are allowed. The prior art of record does not disclose or suggest the combination of a color image generating device and an ultrashort pulse generator for supplying image data in the form of red, green, and blue ultrashort optical pulses, the ultrashort pulse generator including a plurality of wavelength conversion channels, each channnel for converting a wavelength of the ultrashort optical pulses to a different wavelength and comprising an optical parametric generation portion therein. The prior art of record also does not disclose or suggest the combination

of a color image generating device and an ultrashort pulse generator for supplying image data in the form of red, green, and blue ultrashort optical pulses, the ultrashort pulse generator including a multi-sectioned optical waveguide having the details set forth in claim 22.

For the same reasons, claims 20, 21, 27, and 28 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, set forth above.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. A variety of prior art ultrashort optical pulse generators can be seen in the cited U.S. Patents to Blow et al (4,853,933), Taylor et al (4,958,910), and Stock et al (5,862,287). U.S. Patent 5,557,699 to Kester et al describes an optical waveguide switching arrangement for ultrashort optical pulses which generates a pulsed output second harmonic optical beam. Wavelength conversion devices which output wavelength converted optical radiation into a plurality of optical waveguide channels can be seen in the cited U.S. Patents to Jain et al (4,784,450) and Risk (5,224,193).

It is recognized that this application is a Continuation-In-Part of copending application Serial Number 08/975,679, filed on November 21, 1997. The parent application is presently unavailable to the Examiner, but the potential issue of double patenting between the claims of the two applications will be thoroughly analyzed at the time of the next Office action. Such amalysis may affect the determination of claim allowability set forth in this Office action.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), the Examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR § 1.56 to point out the inventor and

Serial No. 09/042,666 Art Unit 2874

invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the Examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. § 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. §§ 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Any inquiry concerning the merits of this communication should be directed to Examiner John D. Lee at telephone number (703) 308-4886. Any inquiry of a general or clerical nature (i.e. a request for a missing form or paper, etc.) should be directed to the Technology Center 2800 receptionist at telephone number (703) 308-0956 or to the technical support staff supervisor at telephone number (703) 308-4854.

John D. Lee Primary Patent Examine Group Art Unit 2874